BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 1997-239-C

In re:	
Intrastate Universal Service Fund	:

SOUTH CAROLINA TELEPHONE COALITION'S RESPONSE TO (1) PETITION OF THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF FOR AN ORDER CLARIFYING USF GUIDELINES AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED RELIEF; AND (2) PETITION OF SOUTH CAROLINA CABLE TELEVISION ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF ORS' PETITION AND TO RAISE ADDITIONAL ISSUES

The South Carolina Telephone Coalition ("SCTC") respectfully submits this response to (1) the Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff for an Order Clarifying USF Guidelines and Request for Expedited Relief ("ORS Petition") dated March 16, 2006; and (2) the Petition of South Carolina Cable Television Association in Support of ORS' Petition and to Raise Additional Issues ("SCCTA Petition"). The SCTC respectfully submits the following:

ORS Petition

- 1. <u>International Revenues</u>. The SCTC agrees that international revenues should not be included in the base of reported retail end user revenues upon which the administrator bases the annual fund assessment.
- 2. <u>Wireless and Broadband Revenues</u>. The SCTC agrees that, at the current time, wireless and broadband revenues should not be included in the base of reported retail end user revenues upon which the administrator bases the annual fund assessment.

- 3. <u>Directory Listing, Federal USF Surcharges, and Special Access Charges to End Users.</u> The SCTC agrees that revenues from directory listings should not be included in the base of reported retail end user revenues upon which the administrator bases the annual fund assessment. The SCTC agrees that revenues from special access services provided to end users should be included in the base of reported retail end user revenues upon which the administrator bases the annual fund assessment. The SCTC questions the inclusion of revenues received from the Federal USF Charge in the base of reported retail end user revenues upon which the administrator bases the annual fund assessment. However, the SCTC agrees that it is in the public interest for all telecommunications carriers to be assessed on a consistent basis, and therefore, agrees that the Commission should make a ruling on this issue.
- 4. <u>State USF Surcharge on Lifeline Customers</u>. The SCTC agrees that it is in the public interest to clarify this issue and to ensure consistent application of the State USF Surcharge by all telecommunications carriers who choose to assess such a surcharge. The SCTC believes ORS's proposal that the surcharge be collected on services provided to a Lifeline customer that exceed the lifeline credit is a reasonable proposal that would ensure uniformity and nondiscriminatory treatment.
- 5. Authority of ORS to Write Off Bad Debts. The SCTC agrees that it would aid in the efficient administration of the State USF, and is therefore in the public interest, for the Commission to grant ORS the authority to write off bad debts and remove the corresponding amount from accounts receivable, which will allow shortfall amounts to be recovered in the following fund year.

SCCTA Petition

- 6. The only new issue raised by the SCCTA in its Petition is whether wireless carriers should be required to contribute to the USF. Contrary to its assertion that the issues raised in its Petition are "different" from the issues it has raised in its various appeals of State USF proceedings, it appears that the SCCTA's remaining issues are similar or related to issues raised in prior appeals. Moreover, these issues merely represent repeated collateral attacks on well-established guidelines and procedures for administration and implementation of the State USF that either have been or could have been raised previously. These guidelines and procedures have been affirmed by the circuit court and are themselves subject of a pending appeal in the South Carolina Supreme Court. As such, the Commission should consider the wireless revenues issue in a separate proceeding if it is so inclined, but should deny relief or dismiss SCCTA's Petition as to the remaining issues.
- 7. With respect to the wireless revenues issue, the SCTC agrees that the Commission has the authority, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §§ 58-9-280(E)(2), 58-9-10(15), and 58-9-280(E)(3), to consider whether it is appropriate for wireless carriers to contribute to the State USF and whether it is appropriate to include wireless revenues in the reported retail end user revenues upon which the administrator bases the annual fund assessment. The SCTC notes, however, that it is not necessary to do so on an expedited basis, and that any such consideration should not delay a resolution of ORS's Petition or timely administration of the State USF.

WHEREFORE, South Carolina Telephone Coalition respectfully requests that the Commission consider the issues raised in the ORS Petition and grant the relief requested therein as specified above. Further, it requests that the Commission, if so inclined, schedule a separate

proceeding to consider the wireless revenues issue raised by the SCCTA, but dismiss the SCCTA's Petition or deny relief with respect to the remaining issues, and grant such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

M. John Bowen, Jr., Esquire Margaret M. Fox, Esquire McNAIR LAW FIRM, P.A. Post Office Box 11390 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

(803) 799-9800

Attorneys for the South Carolina Telephone Coalition

May 11, 2006 Columbia, South Carolina

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 97-239-C

IN RE:	Intrastate Universal Fund).		CERTIFICATE OF
			•	SERVICE

I, Rebecca W. Martin, Secretary for McNair Law Firm, P. A., do hereby certify that I have this date served one (1) copy of the Response of the South Carolina Telephone Coalition to Petitions by the Office of Regulatory Staff and by the South Carolina Cable Television Association regarding the above—referenced matter on the following parties of record by causing said copy to be deposited with the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, affixed thereto and addressed as follows:

Florence Belser, Esquire South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff Post Office Box 11263 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

John F. Beach, Esquire Ellis, Lawhorne & Sims, P.A. Post Office Box 2285 Columbia, SC 29202

John M. S. Hoefer, Esquire Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A. Post Office Box 8416 Columbia, South Carolina 29202-8416

Anthony Mastando, Esquire ITC^DeltaCom Communications 7037 Old Madison Pike, Suite 400 Huntsville, AL 35806 Frank R. Ellerbe, III, Esquire Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P. C. Post Office Box 944 Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Patrick W. Turner, Esquire BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Post Office Box 752 Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Stan J. Bugner, State Director Verizon Select Services, Inc. 1301 Gervais Street, Suite 825 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Steven W. Hamm, Esquire Richardson Plowden Carpenter & Robinson, P. A. Post Office Drawer 7788 Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Zel Gilbert, Director of External Affairs Sprint United 1122 Lady Street, Suite 1050 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Gene V. Coker, Esquire AT&T – Law & Government Affairs 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 8100 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Darra W. Cothran, Esquire Woodward Cothran & Herndon Post Office Box 12399 Columbia, South Carolina 29211-2399

William R. Atkinson United Telephone/Sprint Communications 3065 Cumberland Circle Mailstop GAATLD0602 Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Craig K. Davis, Esquire 1420 Hagood Avenue Columbia, South Carolina 29205-1327 Faye A. Flowers, Esquire Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein, L.L.P. Post Office Box 1509 Columbia, South Carolina 29202-1509

Robert D. Coble, Esquire Nexsen Pruet Jacobs Pollard & Robinson, L.L.C. Post Office Drawer 2426 Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Scott Elliott, Esquire Elliott & Elliott, P.A. 721 Olive Street Columbia, South Carolina 29205

Robert E. Tyson, Jr., Esquire Sowell Gray Stepp & Lafitte, L.L.C. Post Office Box 11449 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Susan Berkowitz, Director SC Appleseed Legal Justice Center Post Office Box 7187 Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Lori Reese Patton, Esquire Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC 301 S. College Street Suite 3500, One Wachovia Center Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

> Rebecca W. Martin McNair Law Firm, P.A. Post Office Box 11390 Columbia, South Carolina (803) 799-9800

May 11, 2006

Columbia, South Carolina